	Case 1:22-cv-00991-ADA-SKO Documen	nt 21 Filed 05/31/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JORGE GARRIDO,	No. 1:22-cv-00991-ADA-SKO (HC)
12 13	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (ECF No. 20)
14	v.	ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S
15	v.	MOTION TO DISMISS, DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
16		CORPUS, AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT AND
17	WARDEN,	CLOSE CASE
18	Respondent.	ORDER DECLINING TO ISSUE CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
19		
20	Petitioner Jorge Garrido is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with	
21	a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. This matter was referred to a	
22	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
23	On March 21, 2023, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations	
24	to grant Respondent's motion to dismiss for lack of standing and ripeness, failure to exhaust, and	
25	lack of jurisdiction. (ECF Nos. 12, 20.) The findings and recommendations were served upon all	
26	parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21)	
27	days after service. No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.	
28		
		1

Case 1:22-cv-00991-ADA-SKO Document 21 Filed 05/31/23 Page 2 of 2

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

In the event a notice of appeal is filed, a certificate of appealability will not be required because this is an order denying a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, not a final order in a habeas proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of

process issued by a state court. *Forde v. U.S. Parole Commission*, 114 F.3d 878 (9th Cir. 1997); *see Ojo v. INS*, 106 F.3d 680, 681–82 (5th Cir. 1997); *Bradshaw v. Story*, 86 F.3d 164, 166 (10th Cir. 1996).

Accordingly,

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 21, 2023 (ECF No. 20), are adopted in full;
- 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 12), is granted;
- 3. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed;
- 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment and close the case; and
- 5. In the event a notice of appeal is filed, no certificate of appealability is required.

UNITED &TATES DISTRICT JUDGE

This order terminates the action in its entirety.

20 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: May 30, 2023